

SENATOR ROBINSON: About 10 percent.

SENATOR MOORE: Ten percent.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Ten percent.

SENATOR MOORE: So I mean...

SENATOR ROBINSON: That is a significant cost, Senator.

SENATOR MOORE: I am not saying that it is not significant, I am saying (interruption)...

SENATOR ROBINSON: Now being Chairman of the Appropriations Committee, I realize you don't think that is a significant cost, but I think it is.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, given my own pocketbook, I still know it is significant.

SENATOR ROBINSON: And I am glad that it is.

SENATOR MOORE: You know, your comments, I just wanted to make sure everybody understood the real impact is \$1.7 million and, Senator, if your concern is \$1.7 million on property taxes, the other part of the bill has about a \$6 million impact on property taxes, you are aware of that, aren't you, Senator Robinson?

SENATOR ROBINSON: Oh, yes, I am very aware of it.

SENATOR MOORE: That \$6 million, in my arithmetic, is three times as much as this one.

SENATOR ROBINSON: I am aware of what happens to the bill, yes.

SENATOR MOORE: Well, that is true too, but I think it is important to understand that...Senator Warner, the hardest problem I have and where I totally agree with, Senator Robinson, well, where I totally agree with him, not agree with Senator Robinson, is that this \$1.7 million, whether it is actually the true cost of special ed children, is a cost that is now borne, if the state takes it away, that is a cost that will have to be borne by the property taxpayer. That does, indeed, trouble me, but as a policy standpoint, I think it makes some sense, Senator, that is why I signed on with Senator Ashford and I